

World Trade Symposium 2018: Open Architecture Round Table Session
 Open Markets • Open Finance • **Open Architecture**

Silos → Nodes

How can silos that prevent interoperability be transformed into nodes of a network?

Facilitated by Joseph Potvin, Xalgorithms Foundation
jpovtin@xalgorithms.org • +1-819-593-5983 • <https://xalgorithms.org> • <https://internetofrules.org>

Group Discussion Notes

	Expression <i>How is it stated?</i>	Interface <i>How is it accessed?</i>	Processor <i>How does it work?</i>	Channel <i>What pathway?</i>	Infrastructure <i>What hardware?</i>
Ideas & Opportunities	<p>The payloads for interoperable computation should use language-independent syntax</p> <p>Examples: JSON https://www.json.org/</p> <p>Tuple programming (i.e. control tables, decision tables) http://wiki.c2.com/?TupleOrientedProgramming</p>	<p>Interfaces should implement standard semantic specifications</p> <p>Examples: Universal Business Language (UBL) https://www.oasis-open.org/committees/tc_home.php?wg_abbrev=ubl</p> <p>Pan European Public Procurement On-Line (PEPPOL) https://peppol.eu</p>	<p>The system should implement the standard non-repudiation</p> <p>Reference: ISO/IEC 13888-2:2010 "Information technology -- Security techniques -- Non-repudiation -- Part 2: Mechanisms using symmetric techniques" https://www.iso.org/standard/44736.html</p>	<p>The system design should incorporate "multi-path" routing.</p> <p>Reference: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Multipath_routing</p>	<p>The system design should reflect 'resilience thinking'</p> <p>References: Smith, P. et.al. (2011). Network resilience: a systematic approach. IEEE Communications https://doi.org/10.1109/MCOM.2011.5936160</p> <p>Sterbenz, J. et.al. (2010). Resilience and survivability in communication networks. Computer Networks. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comnet.2010.03.005</p>
Obstacles, Risks, Problems	<p>Incumbent methods of expression and semantic models might make a transition to interoperability difficult and expensive.</p>	<p>Interoperability is a "layered" concept, like layers of an onion, from basic connectivity through to payload semantics.</p>	<p>Non-standard data, messages and documents increase and complicate the processing requirements.</p>	<p>Some pathway service providers assert a "my platform" attitude and deliberately create incompatibilities for competitive reasons.</p>	<p>There are three contexts for infrastructure relating to node interoperability:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Core connections should conform with standards (analogous to a main line railway with running rights for all train operators.) 2. Secondary connections should meet minimum standards (like limited-purpose railway sidings). 3. Internal infrastructure may need to diverge from standards due to legacy systems or other reasons.

Strategic Context	<p><i>Interoperability requires an appropriate legal framework:</i></p> <p><i>Examples:</i> <i>(a) Must enable competitor access with free/libre/open specifications</i> <i>(b) Must not obstruct tech compatibility through government-issued idea monopolies: a.k.a. "patents".</i></p>	<p><i>Assume that any node can be owned and operated by a different organization.</i></p>	<p><i>For any processor to be able to send and receive information requires both:</i></p> <p><i>Payload standards</i></p> <p><i>Transport standards</i></p>	<p><i>The system must employ a "4-Corner Model" : all users choose their own provider who then interconnects. Anything less is, by definition, not "interoperable".</i></p> <p><i>Reference:</i> https://www.peppolbasics.info/what-is-peppol/</p>	<p><i>The core network infrastructure should have cooperative not-for-profit governance, even if the members and the services they operate "on" it would be profit-driven. It should be a platform "for" profit, not a profit centre itself.</i></p>
Priorities & Sequencing	<p><i>1st: Legal framework</i> <i>2nd: Business model</i> <i>3rd: Technical design and implementation</i></p> <p><i>For technical design, start with a basic system that "works", demo it with realistic data payloads and scenarios.</i></p>	<p><i>Create a simple modular interface serving basic needs, and add capabilities step-by step.</i></p>	<p><i>Beyond the initial proof-of-concepts, proceed to design and develop the processor through an international working group.</i></p>	<p><i>Learn about and build upon the interoperability methods that already exist and extend as needed.</i></p>	<p><i>Learn about and build upon the interoperability methods that already exist and extend as needed.</i></p>
Action: "Do" Caution: "Don't"	<p><i>DO: Design a way that any computable expression of legal or business requirements is also readily understandable by non-programmers, to facilitate accurate auditable digital automation</i></p> <p><i>DO: Create video tutorials to show others;</i></p> <p><i>DO NOT: Assume suitability for others. Ask them!</i></p>	<p><i>DO: Use global standards for business and transaction data.</i></p> <p><i>Examples:</i> <i>UBL and (if necessary) its extensibility rules.</i> <i>ISO 20022 for payment data.</i></p>	<p><i>DO: Design in modular form and use proven free/libre/open components</i></p> <p><i>DO: Develop people networks, not only technical networks.</i></p>	<p><i>DO: Ensure non-proprietary core requirements and standards conformance</i></p> <p><i>Examples:</i> <i>OASIS AS4 open standard interoperability protocol for payload-agnostic exchange of B2B documents</i> https://www.codit.eu/blog/as4-for-dummies-part-i-introduction/ <i>IETF BEEP (Blocks Extensible Exchange Protocol) open standard framework for creating network application protocols</i> http://beepcore.org/</p>	<p><i>DO: Use the power of the Internet to its full capabilities (as it was designed; as it continues to evolve)</i></p>